



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/771,922	02/04/2004	Michael L. Hill	B31-180 3495		
26929 75	590 10/21/2004		EXAMINER		
BRIAN C. TRASK 3601 EAST HERMES DRIVE SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84124			VALENZA, JOSEPH E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3651		
			DATE MAILED: 10/21/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					0 /1			
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	(C)			
		10/771,92	22	HILL ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	,	Art Unit				
		Joseph V		3651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)□		This action is n	on-final.					
3)								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	·- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
10)	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) 🛛 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/4/04</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/771,922

Art Unit: 3651

)

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-7, 9-14, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sigfridsson et al or Besch.

With regard to claims 3-7, 9-12 and 17, only Besch applies.

2. Claims 8, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Besch.

With regard to claim 8, bolt 50 of Besch is the functional equivalent to the claimed press-fit stud. With regard to claims 15, 16 and 18-20, the coefficient of friction and the composition of the plastic are matters of choice over the functionally equivalent plastic 40 of Besch. With regard to claim 20, the need for a retaining pin to prevent sliding of the guide plate on the foundation platform is avoided by tightening of the nuts on bolts 50 of Besch.

- 3. Allen, Ketonen and Stroud et al are pertinent.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Joseph E. Valenza at telephone number (703) 308-2577. Amendments may be faxed to (703) 872-9306. My normal work week is Monday through Thursday.

JOSEPH E. VALENZA PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 2